

EXHIBIT F



TERI BUHL

SMASHMOUTH INVESTIGATIVE JOURNALISM

More

Search this website ...

Here it is: that MGT Capital SEC ⁹ Subpoena _{Feb}

MGT Capital was subject to a subpoena sent by a lawyer at the Securities and Exchange Commission named Katherine Bromberg who is senior counsel in the New York office of the division of enforcement. The Subpoena demanded a response by September 28, 2016. MGT's stock had been on a soar after it was **announced** the company was going to merge with John McAfee's private security company. MGT CEO Rob Ladd was forced to announce the receipt of the subpoena by the exchange the company listed on, the NYSE, and the stock started a **slide**. The NYSE latter delisted the company without explaining in writing what their reasons were and did not allow the issuance of millions of new shares that the company approved in a board of directors vote.

The company is now on the OTC pink sheets waiting for the top-tier of the OTC markets to approve their listing. John McAfee was eventually made a director of the company but the merger of his security assets isn't completed yet. The company website says "MGT Capital Investments, Inc. is in the process of acquiring a diverse portfolio of cyber security technologies. With cyber security industry pioneer, John McAfee, at its helm..."

In September MGT CEO, Rob Ladd, who signs all SEC filings, said in a press release the company didn't think the SEC subpoena questions were focused on 'the company'. Latter that month I was first to report on some of the people named in the SEC subpoena for the trade publication I report for **Growth Capitalist**. I reported Barry Honig, Michael Brauser and Josh Silverman's hedge fund as being subjects of the subpoena

Donate with PayPal

Donate

Your Voice

California DOJ Investigating
Honig and The Frost Group
on
Investor Barry Honig Subject of
SEC MGT Capital Subpoena

Ripped of Investor on
Investor Barry Honig Subject of
SEC MGT Capital Subpoena

Fellow Researcher on
SEC knew Collecting \$14.5 mn
Hedgie Ribotsky fine Would Fail

John Miller on
Hacked By XwoLFtN

John Miller on
Hacked By XwoLFtN

State v. Buhl

Learn How Connecticut
Wants to Jail Me For
Protecting Sources and

press release the company didn't think the SEC subpoena questions were focused on 'the company'. Latter that month I was first to report on some of the people named in the SEC subpoena for the trade publication I report for *Growth Capitalist*. I reported Barry Honig, Michael Brauser and Josh Silverman's hedge fund as being subjects of the subpoena questions. I had interviewed an executive at the company who said they thought "the focus of the SEC subpoena was about Barry Honig and the people he invested with." I then wrote an opinion piece at teribuhl.com that Honig and friends were the subject of this subpoena.

Below is the subpoena for the reader to see and formulate their own opinions. This is the first time it is being made public. The name of the companies on page 7 are all owned by the names of the people on page 7-8. I was told by a person at the company all of these people invested with MGT Capital and you need a flow chart to show their interconnectedness. I have also researched other public filings and found these people have invested in the same equities in the past. Most of these people say they are passive investors and don't know each other or don't 'invest together'. It's my belief after a decade of proven investigative reporting and based on knowing how to read a SEC subpoena, along with interviews with people involved in the transaction and past investing transaction of Barry Honig, that the regulator is looking for evidence that these people traded as a group and therefore became beneficial owners of the stock. If you have beneficial ownership of a stock it affects when and how much you can sell your stock so investors, like the group here, often try to keep their public ownership of the stock below 10%. I think the regulator also wants to know if any of the investors, who except for Josh Silverman were not on the board of the company, had any influence in the McAfee merger or the paid stock promotion by Stock Beast. But the most interesting question is number 10.

“

All Documents and Communication concerning MGT's acquisition of certain technology and assets of D-Vasive, as stated in MGT's Form 8-K filed on May 9, 2016.

MGT had announced in its August proxy statement on [page 23](#) that D-Vasive had had gotten a \$850,000 bridge loan with convertible debt but didn't disclose who did the bridge loan. John McAfee owns D-Vasive. I was told by a person at MGT that some of the names on the SEC subpoena had also done the bridge loan. If the merger had been

State v. Buhl

Learn How Connecticut Wants to Jail Me For Protecting Sources and Squash Speech

[Read More »](#)

Teri Buhl in the News



Keiser Report: Stripped To Teeth (E292)

[More Videos](#)

Trusted News

Christopher Fountain: Best Source of Greenwich, CT News

Ian Fraser: Investigative UK Financial Journalist

On the Case: Alison Frankel


RT Kesier Report

Vasive had had gotten a \$850,000 bridge loan with convertible debt but didn't disclose who did the bridge loan. John McAfee owns D-Vasive. I was told by a person at MGT that some of the names on the SEC subpoena had also done the bridge loan. If the merger had been approved, these people would have likely had D-Vasive stock warrants that would have become MGT stock and while the stock was flying high would have made a killing if they were able to sell. There are a lot of unanswered questions about that transaction and since D-Vasive is private they don't have to answer them. Well unless a regulator asks. Like the timing of the warrants being issued, share registration, and who is holding the shares for the required 6 month period.

These people are sophisticated investors with expensive lawyers who help structure transactions designed to protect them from violating any securities laws like trading as a group without disclosing it. That type of SEC violation is hard to prove and I don't know if the regulator will get the evidence to prove it but it is good to see them asking the questions. I want to hear from readers and market participants on what you think some of these SEC questions are trying to get at. Use the comment section or email me at teribuhl.com with your thoughts.

MGT says it has compiled and answered the SEC subpoena.

[SEC Subpoena MGT Capital September 2016](#) by [Teri Buhl](#) on Scribd



UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
New York Regional Office
200 Varot Street, Suite 400
New York, New York 10038-1033

DIVISION OF ENFORCEMENT

Katherine S. Bromberg
Senior Counsel
(212) 356-6130

September 14, 2016

Via UPS

MGT Capital Investments, Inc.
500 Maratonock Avenue, Suite 204
Harrison, NY 10528

Re: NY-09507

Dear Custodian of Records,

The staff of the New York Regional Office of the United States Securities and Exchange Commission is conducting an investigation in the matter identified above. The enclosed subpoena has been issued to MGT Capital Investments, Inc. ("MGT") as part of this investigation. The subpoena requires MGT to provide us documents.

Please read the subpoena and this letter carefully. This letter answers some questions you may have about the subpoena. You should also read the enclosed SEC Form 1662. You must comply with the subpoena. You may be subject to a fine and/or imprisonment if you do not.

Producing Documents

What materials do I have to produce?

The subpoena requires you to provide us the documents described in the attachment to the subpoena. You must provide these documents by **September 28, 2016**. The attachment to the subpoena defines some terms (such as "document") before listing what you must provide.

You should maintain each and every document in your possession, custody, or control.

Document title: Here it is: that MGT Capital SEC Subpoena

Capture URL: <http://www.teribuhl.com/2017/02/09/here-it-is-that-mgt-capital-sec-subpoena/>

Capture timestamp (UTC): Fri, 10 Feb 2017 17:00:07 GMT

Page 3 of 6

Producing Documents

What materials do I have to produce?

The subpoena requires you to provide us the documents described in the attachment to the subpoena. You must provide these documents by **September 23, 2016**. The attachment to the subpoena defines some terms (such as "document") before listing what you must provide.

You should produce each and every document in your possession, custody, or control, including any documents that are not in your immediate possession but that you have the ability to obtain. All responsive documents shall be produced as they are kept in the usual course of business, and shall be organized and labeled to correspond with the numbered paragraphs in the subpoena attachment. In that regard, documents should be produced in a unitized manner, i.e., delineated with staples or paper clips to identify the document boundaries.

Documents responsive to this subpoena may be in electronic or paper form. Electronic documents such as email should be produced in accordance with the attached document entitled SEC Data Delivery Standards (the "Standards"). If you have any questions concerning the production of documents in an electronic format, please contact me as soon as possible but in

1

Show me more about this topic ^

X

Scribd

1 of 14



Share this:

Facebook Share 4

Tweet

LinkedIn Share 2

Google+ 0

Related

Investor Barry Honig
Subject of SEC MGT
Capital Subpoena
September 23, 2016
In "Banksters Behaving
Badly"

Iroquois Capital's Josh
Silverman Threatens
Portfolio Stock CEO
June 11, 2014
In "Banksters Behaving
Badly"

California DOJ
investigating Honig and
The Frost Group
February 9, 2017
In "Banksters Behaving
Badly"

No Comments

Subscribe

Enter your email address to subscribe to TeriBuhl.com and
receive notifications of new articles by email.

Email Address

Subscribe



Document title: Here it is: that MGT Capital SEC Subpoena

Capture URL: <http://www.teribuhl.com/2017/02/09/here-it-is-that-mgt-capital-sec-subpoena/>

Capture timestamp (UTC): Fri, 10 Feb 2017 17:00:07 GMT

Page 4 of 6